

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,669	09/30/2003	Mario Elmen Tremblay	8598MR	5011
27752	7590 11/22/200	EXAMINER		
	TER & GAMBLE C		ZHENG, LOIS L	
	UAL PROPERTY DI LL BUSINESS CENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ER HILL AVENUE	1742		
CINCINNAT	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 11/22/2000	6 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4
D

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/674,669	TREMBLAY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lois Zheng	1742	

·	Lois Zheng	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 07 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS		£	<b>.</b>				
3. Mathematics The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		<b>-</b>	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13.							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claim features change the scope of the finally rejected claims, which require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on newly amended claim features which require further search and consideration.

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNICLOGY CENTER 1700